

In the Environment Court of New Zealand  
Christchurch Registry

I Mua I Te Kōti Taiao O Aotearoa  
Ōtautahi Rohe

**ENV-2024-**

Under the Resource Management Act 1991 (**RMA**)

In the matter of an appeal under clause 14 of Schedule 1 of the RMA in relation to the non-freshwater parts of the Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement 2021

Between **Real Group Limited**

Appellant

And **Otago Regional Council**

Respondent

---

**Notice of NZSki Limited's wish to be party to proceedings pursuant to section 274 RMA**

22 May 2024

---

**Section 274 party's solicitors:**

Maree Baker-Galloway | Laura McLaughlan  
Anderson Lloyd  
Level 2, 13 Camp Street, Queenstown 9300  
PO Box 201, Queenstown 9348

p + 64 3 450 0700  
maree.baker-galloway@al.nz | laura.mclaughlan@al.nz

**anderson  
lloyd.**

**To: The Registrar**

**Environment Court**

**Christchurch**

1 NZSki Limited (**NZSki**) wishes to be a party pursuant to section 274 of the RMA to the following proceedings:

*Real Group Limited v Otago Regional Council* (ENV-2024-CHC) being an appeal against decisions of Otago Regional Council (**Respondent**) in relation to the non-freshwater parts of the Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement 2021 (**PORPS**) (**Appeal**).

2 NZSki (a wholly owned subsidiary of Trojan Holdings Limited), is a person who made a submission regarding the subject matter of the proceedings.

3 NZSki is a person who has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than that interest the general public has because it owns and operates ski areas in the Otago Region.

4 NZSki is a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the RMA.

5 NZSki is directly affected by an effect of the subject of the Appeal that:

(a) adversely affects the environment; and

(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

6 NZSki is interested in the Appeal in its entirety.

7 Without derogating from the generality of the above, NZSki is interested in the following particular issues:

(a) The decision of the Hearings Panel to materially alter NFL-P1.

(b) The decision of the Hearings Panel to disregard/not accept submissions which opposed NFL-P2 and word the provision in a way which sets an inappropriately high bar for development and change within Outstanding Natural Landscapes and Features.

8 NZSki supports the relief sought in the Appeal because:

(a) The deletion of reference to APP9 and the insertion of reference to the 2021/2022 NZILA guidelines in NFL-P1 is inappropriate including because the guidelines may become superseded in the PORPS's lifetime; and

(b) The retention of the reference in NFL-P2 (1) to protecting values that themselves are not necessarily 'natural' or 'outstanding' extends beyond the protection required by section 6 (b) of the RMA.

9 NZSki agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Dated this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of May 2024

*Maree Baker-Galloway*

---

Maree Baker-Galloway/Laura McLaughlan  
Counsel for NZSki Limited

**Address for service of person wishing to be a party**

Anderson Lloyd  
Level 2, 13 Camp Street  
PO Box 201  
Queenstown, 9300  
Phone: 03 450 0700  
Email: maree.baker-galloway@al.nz | laura.mclaughlan@al.nz

**Advice**

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Auckland, Wellington, or Christchurch.