

IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT OF NEW ZEALAND  
CHRISTCHURCH REGISTRY

I MUA | TE KŌTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA  
ŌTAUTAHI ROHE

<b>UNDER THE</b>	Resource Management Act 1991
<b>IN THE MATTER</b>	of an appeal under Clause 14 of the Resource Management Act 1991 <b>ENV-2024-CHC-25</b>
<b>AND IN THE MATTER</b>	of the non-freshwater parts of the Proposed Regional Policy Statement 2021
<b>AND</b>	Dunedin City Council <b>(Appellant)</b>
<b>AND</b>	Otago Regional Council <b>(Respondent)</b>

---

**NOTICE OF QUEENSTOWN AIRPORT CORPORATION LIMITED'S WISH TO BE A PARTY TO  
PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO SECTION 274 OF  
THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT**

**7 June 2024**

---

## Form 33 - Notice of person's wish to be party to proceedings

*Section 274, Resource Management Act 1991*

To  
the Registrar  
Environment Court  
Christchurch

Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited (**QAC**), wish to be a party to the following proceedings:

- The appeal dated 5 June 2024 by Dunedin City Council against decisions of Otago Regional Council (**Respondent**) in relation to the non-freshwater parts of the Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement 2021 (**PORPS**). (**Appeal**).

QAC is a person who made a submission about the subject matter of the proceedings.

QAC is a person who has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the interest the general public has. QAC owns and operates the nationally and regionally significant Queenstown Airport. It manages the regionally significant Wanaka Airport and provides grounds maintenance services and airstrip management at Glenorchy Airstrip on QLDC's behalf.

QAC is a council-controlled trading organisation for the purposes of the Local Government Act 2002. QAC is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991.

QAC is interested in the Appeal in its entirety.

Without derogating from the generality of the above, QAC is interested in the following particular issues and parts of the proceedings:

- Relief sought for **AIR-P4(2)** to include "*unless these can be appropriately managed*".

QAC generally **oppose** the relief sought to loosen the policy, as QAC airports and flight path locations are sensitive to offensive or objectionable air discharges.

- Relief sought for **UFD-P4** in relation to urban expansion, replace 'may occur' with 'should only occur'.

QAC generally **support** this relief as it is consistent with relief sought by QAC with regard to reverse sensitive effects of incompatible activities.

- Relief sought for **UFD-P5** in relation to establishing commercial activities outside of centres and commercial zones.

QAC generally **support** this relief as it is consistent with relief sought by QAC with regard to reverse sensitive effects of incompatible activities.

QAC notes the overlap of these issues with the issues raised in its own appeal, and has an interest given QAC's role in the operation of nationally and regionally significant infrastructure, and the outcome of provisions in the PORPS that affect these operations.

QAC agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Dated this 7<sup>th</sup> Day of May 2024



Rebecca Wolt  
Counsel for Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited.  
7 May 2024

Address for service of person wishing to be a party:

Rebecca Wolt  
Barrister  
Telephone: 021 244 2950  
Fax/email: [rebecca@rebeccawolt.co.nz](mailto:rebecca@rebeccawolt.co.nz)

*Advice*

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Auckland, Wellington, or Christchurch.