

IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
CHRISTCHURCH REGISTRY

I MUA | TE KŌTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA
ŌTAUTAHI ROHE

UNDER THE	Resource Management Act 1991
IN THE MATTER	of an appeal under Clause 14 of the Resource Management Act 1991 ENV-2024-CHC-24
AND IN THE MATTER	of the non-freshwater parts of the Proposed Regional Policy Statement 2021
AND	Aurora Energy Limited, Network Waitaki Limited, and Powernet Limited (Appellant)
AND	Otago Regional Council (Respondent)

NOTICE OF QUEENSTOWN AIRPORT CORPORATION LIMITED'S WISH TO BE A PARTY TO
PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO SECTION 274 OF
THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT

7 June 2024

Form 33 - Notice of person's wish to be party to proceedings

Section 274, Resource Management Act 1991

To
the Registrar
Environment Court
Christchurch

Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited (**QAC**), wish to be a party to the following proceedings:

- The appeal dated 14 May 2024 by Aurora Energy Limited, Network Waitaki Limited, and Powernet Limited (**EDBs**) against decisions of Otago Regional Council (**Respondent**) in relation to the non-freshwater parts of the Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement 2021 (**PORPS**). (**Appeal**).

QAC is a person who made a submission about the subject matter of the proceedings.

QAC is a person who has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the interest the general public has. QAC owns and operates the nationally and regionally significant Queenstown Airport. It manages the regionally significant Wanaka Airport and provides grounds maintenance services and airstrip management at Glenorchy Airstrip on QLDC's behalf.

QAC is a council-controlled trading organisation for the purposes of the Local Government Act 2002. QAC is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991.

QAC is interested in the Appeal in its entirety.

Without derogating from the generality of the above, QAC is interested in the following particular issues and parts of the proceedings:

- a) Appeal Point 2: Replacement **SRMR-10A** which is related to the conflict between infrastructure operation and development, and the achievement of some environmental objectives.

QAC generally neither supports nor opposes the relief sought, but has an interest given its role in the operation of nationally and regionally significant infrastructure which would be impacted by the provision.

- b) Appeal Points 7: Amendment to **LF-FW-P12** to seek that outstanding water bodies are protected from inappropriate development.

QAC generally neither supports nor opposes the relief sought, but has an interest given its role in the operation of nationally and regionally significant infrastructure which would be impacted by the provision.

- c) Appeal Point 8: Amendment to **LF-FW-P13** to direct that effects of *infrastructure* are managed in accordance with **EIT-INF** provisions.

QAC generally **supports** this relief as it is generally consistent with relief sought by QAC.

- d) Appeal Point 11: Alternative relief sought for **EIT-INF-P13**, which relates to effects of infrastructure, nationally significant infrastructure and *regionally significant infrastructure*, to change the reference within this policy from **LF-FW-P12** to **LF-FW-P13**.

QAC generally **supports** this relief as it is generally consistent with relief sought by QAC.

- e) Appeal Point 14: Relief sought for **UFD-O1** to manage the effects of incompatible activities on the operational and functional needs of *existing infrastructure*.

QAC generally **supports** this relief as it is generally consistent with relief sought by QAC.

- f) Appeal Point 15: Relief sought for **UFD-P1** to consider reverse sensitivity effects of growth or development on existing regionally significant infrastructure, or such infrastructure which the provider has indicated to the local authority that it plans to undertake in the long-term.

QAC generally **supports** this relief as it is generally consistent with relief sought by QAC.

- g) Appeal Points 16 & 17: Relief sought for **UFD-P3 & UFD-P4** to require that urban *intensification* and *expansion*, are managed by avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on existing and planned infrastructure.

QAC generally **supports** this relief as it is generally consistent with relief sought by QAC.

QAC notes the overlap of these issues with the issues raised in its own appeal, and has an interest given QAC's role in the operation of nationally and regionally significant infrastructure, and the outcome of provisions in the PORPS that affect these operations.

QAC agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Dated this 7th Day of May 2024

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Rebecca Wolt', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Rebecca Wolt
Counsel for Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited.
7 May 2024

Address for service of person wishing to be a party:

Rebecca Wolt
Barrister
Telephone: 021 244 2950
Fax/email: rebecca@rebeccawolt.co.nz

Advice

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Auckland, Wellington, or Christchurch.