

Work project	Budget Assumptions	Year 1 (current year)	Year 2	Year 3
Route 5 & 6 increased frequency + extended service coverage				
Option 1 – achieve proposed LOS as set out in LTP	\$1million for Route 5&6 + \$800,000 for Extended Services in Years 2 and 3; Assumes farebox recovery of 18% then NZTA share 51% of remainder and local share (rates) 49% split 80/20 targeted/general.	The new services were intended to commence from Year2.	<p>Rate Increase: Total budget to provide services is \$1.8 million.</p> <p>Assumes: Farebox of \$319,000 NZTA \$755,000 Rates \$145,000 General, \$581,000 Targeted</p> <p>This option requires additional \$755,000 in rates. Note any farebox shortfall would also fall 100% to rates.</p>	<p>Rate increase: Total budget to provide services is \$1.8 million.</p> <p>Assumes: Farebox of \$327,000 NZTA \$751,000 Rates \$144,000 General, \$578,000 Targeted</p> <p>This option requires additional \$751,000 in rates. Note any farebox shortfall would also fall 100% to rates.</p>
Option 2 – either increase frequency on Routes 5 & 6 OR extend service coverage		The new services were intended to commence from Year 2.	<p>Smaller increase in rates: Budget assumptions based on either Route 5&6 increased frequencies OR extended service coverage.</p> <p>Rating impacts will differ depending on which option selected.</p> <p>Cost \$1.0 million requires additional rates of \$420,000. Cost \$800,000 requires additional rates of \$336,000.</p> <p>Shortfall in farebox recovery would be rate funded.</p>	<p>Smaller increase in rates: Cost \$1.0 million requires additional rates of \$417,000.</p> <p>Cost \$800,000 requires additional rates of \$334,000.</p> <p>Shortfall in farebox recovery would be rate funded.</p>
Option 3 (preferred) – maintain existing LOS	Remove years 2 and 3 rates for these activities.	Provided for in Year 1 (existing) rates.	<p>Rate reduction: Remove \$1.8million from budget. Local rates share of \$726,000 would not be required so rates would reduce in Year 2.</p>	<p>Rate reduction: Remove \$1.8million from budget. Local rates share of \$722,000 would not be required so rates would reduce in Year 3.</p>

Queenstown Ferries		Year 1 (current year)	Year 2	Year 3	
Option 1 (preferred) – maintain existing LOS	No impact	Provided for in Year 1 (existing) rates.	No change in rates.	No change in rates.	
Option 2 – increase LOS as per Stage 1 of Queenstown Public Transport Business Case to achieve hourly frequency all day	\$500,000 per annum to run increased services in Years 2 and 3;	No cost included in Year 1.	Rate increase: Would require rates increase to enable ORC to fully fund net of any farebox recovery. Assuming 20% farebox recovery \$400,000 of rates would be required These rates are not included in the LTP (Rates are split 80% targeted and 20% general).	Rate increase: Would require rates increase to enable ORC to fully fund net of any farebox recovery. Assuming 20% farebox recovery \$400,000 of rates would be required These rates are not included in the LTP (Rates are split 80% targeted and 20% general).	
Oamaru Service		Year 1 (current year)	Year 2	Year 3	
Option 1 – investigate an alternative delivery model for the \$ already rated, to achieve an ‘around town’ option.	<p>Budget in Year 1 of \$250,000, comprised of NZTA grant revenue \$127,500, General rate \$12,250 Targeted rate \$ 49,000 Other income \$61,250</p> <p>Year 2 cost \$630,578 comprised of \$273,356 NZTA \$26,364 general rate \$105,054 targeted rate \$94,587 farebox recovery \$131,318 other income</p> <p>Year 3 \$1,171,150 comprised of \$507,693 NZTA \$48,778 general rate \$195,114 targeted rate \$175,672 farebox recovery \$243,892 other income</p>	Rates collected: \$12,250 general rate \$49,000 targeted rate.	<p>Likely rate reduction in Y2: Staff will determine what rating will be required over and above the Y1 rates already received to complete this investigation.</p> <p>Rates potentially not required to be struck or could be reduced: \$26,364 general; \$105,054 targeted.</p>	<p>Rating impacts will differ depending on final option selected.</p> <p>Rates potentially not required to be struck: \$48,778 general; \$195,114 targeted.</p>	

	Would invest \$61,250 from Year 1 collected as rates on whichever option Council wants to pursue.			
Option 2 (preferred) – investigate an option of connecting to the Dunedin network as an alternative to an ‘around town’ option.	Would invest \$61,250 from Year 1 collected as rates on whichever option Council wants to pursue.	Rates collected: \$12,250 general rate \$49,000 targeted rate.	Rate reduction: Use rates collected in Y1 to undertake this investigation with a view to commencing any service in Y3. Results in a rates reduction for Y2.	Rating impacts will differ depending on which option selected.
Option 3 – investigate a community trust type model.	Would invest \$61,250 from Year 1 collected as rates on whichever option Council wants to pursue.	Rates collected: \$12,250 general rate \$49,000 targeted rate.	Rate reduction: Use rates collected in Y1 to undertake this investigation with a view to commencing any service in Y3. Results in a rates reduction for Y2.	Rating impacts will differ depending on which option selected.
Alexandra – Clyde- Cromwell - Queenstown		Year 1 (current year)	Year 2	Year 3
Option 1 – do not deliver a service (maintain existing LOS).	Year 1 \$50,000 comprised of \$25,500 NZTA and \$24,500 general rate. Year 2 \$395,395 comprised of \$171,404 NZTA and \$164,682 general rate and \$60, 495 farebox recovery. Year 3 \$403,303 comprised of \$371,736 NZTA and \$357,158 general rate and \$119,805 farebox recovery.	Rates collected: \$24,500 general.	Rate reductions. General rates \$164,682 not required in Y2.	Rate reduction. General rates \$357,158 not required in Y3.
Option 2 (preferred) - small funding investment to investigate how to		Rates collected: \$24,500 general.	Rate reduction: Would not require full rate collection of \$164,682 (general rates). Would require	TBC, depending on Year 2 decisions; will require funding decisions if implementing.

move workers from Alexandra /Cromwell through to Queenstown.			smaller amount to undertake feasibility study, in the order of \$50,000.	
Balclutha – Airport - Dunedin		Year 1 (current year)	Year 2	Year 3
Option 1 – do not deliver a service (maintain existing LOS).	<p>Year 1 \$50,000 comprised of \$25,500 NZTA and \$24,500 general rates.</p> <p>Year 2 \$236,210 comprised of \$102,397 NZTA and \$98,381 general rates and \$35,432 farebox recovery.</p> <p>Year 3 \$240,934 comprised of \$104,445 NZTA and \$100,349 general rates and \$36,140 farebox recovery.</p>	Rates collected: \$24,500 general.	Rate reduction. General rates \$98,381 not required in Y2.	Rate reduction. General rates \$100,349 not required in Y3.
Option 2 – investigate community trust model.		Rates collected: \$24,500 general.	Rate reduction: Would not require full rate collection. Would require smaller amount to undertake investigation, approximately \$50,000.	TBC, depending on Year 2 decisions; will require funding decisions if implementing.
Option 3 (preferred) – investigate a PT option to connect to existing Dunedin services.		Rates collected: \$24,500 general.	Rate reduction. Would not require full rate collection of \$164,682 (general rates). Would require smaller amount to undertake feasibility study, in the order of \$50,000.	TBC, depending on Year 2 decisions; will require funding decisions if implementing.
Wanaka Business Case		Year 1 (current year)	Year 2	Year 3
Option 1 (preferred) – do not deliver a service (maintain existing LOS)	Year 2 \$256,750 comprised of \$130,943 NZTA and \$125,808 general rates	No specific rate for Year 1.	Rate reduction: Rates not required in Y2.	Rate reduction: Rates not required in Y3.

	Year 3 \$0			
Option 2 – continue to investigate options for the delivery of PT in Wanaka / Upper Clutha.			No change to rates: Collect the local share rates. Approximately \$98k and investigate options for some form of PT delivery noting that there is no funding from NZTA. Currently General Rate funded.	TBC, depending on Year 2 decisions; will require funding decisions if implementing.
Public Transport Infrastructure				
		Year 1 (current year)	Year 2	Year 3
Option 1 (preferred) – Deliver PT infrastructure improvements to the value of the local share currently provided for in the LTP. Prioritise infrastructure spend based on - Health and Safety Risk; and - Functionality With a view to understanding what can be delivered over a longer period of time.	No change – Year 2 and 3 rates are retained as included in the LTP budget as local share. Rates are 80% targeted and 20% general.	\$1,078,000 rates (already collected) \$1,122,000 NZTA (not approved)	No change to rates: Local share collected as per LTP and invest on a priority basis.	No change to rates: Local share collected as per LTP and invest on a priority basis.
Option 2 - Do not deliver any PT infrastructure improvements.	Rate reduction. Remove year 2 and 3 rates included in the LTP for this activity as local share not required. Invest local share rates collected in Y1 on a priority basis.	\$1,078,000 rates (already collected) \$1,122,000 NZTA (not approved).	Rate reduction Y2. \$654,199 rates (not required) \$680,901 NZTA (not approved).	Rate reduction Y3. \$402,584 rates (not required) \$419,016 NZTA (not approved).

Note: Financial figures included are approximate as per LTP. Final figures, including impacts on Annual Plan rates, will be confirmed following the decisions at Council.