IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT OF NEW ZEALAND CHRISTCHURCH REGISTRY

I MUA | TE KŌTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA ŌTAUTAHI ROHE

UNDER THE Resource Management Act

1991

IN THE MATTER of an appeal under Clause 14

of the Resource Management Act 1991 **ENV-2024-CHC-29**

AND IN THE MATTER of the non-freshwater parts of

the Proposed Regional Policy

Statement 2021

AND Oceana Gold (New Zealand)

Limited (Appellant)

AND Otago Regional Council

(Respondent)

NOTICE OF QUEENSTOWN AIPORT CORPORATION LIMITED'S WISH TO BE A PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO SECTION 274 OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT

7 June 2024

Form 33 - Notice of person's wish to be party to proceedings

Section 274, Resource Management Act 1991

To the Registrar Environment Court Christchurch

Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited (QAC), wish to be a party to the following proceedings:

• The appeal dated 13 May 2024 by Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Limited (**Oceana** Gold) against decisions of Otago Regional Council (**Respondent**) in relation to the non-freshwater parts of the Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement 2021 (**PORPS**). (**Appeal**).

QAC is a person who made a submission about the subject matter of the proceedings.

QAC is a person who has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the interest the general public has. QAC owns and operates the nationally and regionally significant Queenstown Airport. It manages the regionally significant Wanaka Airport and provides grounds maintenance services and airstrip management at Glenorchy Airstrip on QLDC's behalf.

QAC is a council-controlled trading organisation for the purposes of the Local Government Act 2002. QAC is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991.

QAC is interested in the Appeal in its entirety.

Without derogating from the generality of the above, QAC is interested in the following particular issues and parts of the proceedings:

• Relief sought for **IM-P1**.

QAC **do not oppose** the relief sought as it is generally consistent with similar relief sought by QAC.

• Relief sought for **AIR-P4** to delete 'avoiding noxious or dangerous effects' and 'ensuring discharges to air do not cause offensive or objectionable effects'.

QAC **oppose** the relief sought as it is inconsistent with similar relief sought by QAC. QAC airports and flight path locations are sensitive to noxious and dangerous effects of air discharges and offensive or objectionable air discharges.

• Relief sought for ECO-P3, ECO-P6 and ECO-M4.

QAC **do not oppose** the relief sought as it is generally consistent with similar relief sought by QAC.

• Relief sought for APP2, APP3 and APP4.

QAC **do not oppose** the relief sought as it is generally consistent with similar relief sought by QAC in submissions on these provisions.

• Relief sought for **HAZ-CL-P15**.

QAC **do not oppose** the relief sought as it is generally consistent with similar relief sought by QAC in submissions for contaminated land provisions.

QAC notes the overlap of these issues with the issues raised in its own appeal, and has an interest given QAC's role in the operation of nationally and regionally significant infrastructure, and the outcome of provisions in the PORPS that affect these operations.

QAC agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Dated this 7th Day of May 2024

Rebecca Wolt

Counsel for Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited.

7 May 2024

Address for service of person wishing to be a party:

Rebecca Wolt Barrister

Telephone: 021 244 2950

Fax/email: rebecca@rebeccawolt.co.nz

Advice

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Auckland, Wellington, or Christchurch.