

Summary of Submissions

Application # RM22.434

Cold Gold Clutha Limited - Various Consents - Gold Mining, Clutha River/Mata-Au

Status	Number of Submissions
Neutral	3
Opposed	36
Support	2
Total Number of Submissions:	41

To Be Heard	Number of Submissions
No	28
Yes	13
Total:	41

Submitter No	Submitter	Add1	Add2	Add3	Add4	Add5	Add6	Submissions Summary	Received Date	To Be Heard	Status
1	Adam Eason						NZ	Concerned with effects outlined in applicant's ecological assessment and cultural values	23/06/2023	No	Opposed
2	Aukaha		P O Box 446	Dunedin Central	Dunedin	9054	NZ	Concerned with effects associated with cultural values	23/06/2023	Yes	Opposed
3	Aurora Energy Limited	Aurora Energy	PO Box 5140		Dunedin	9054	NZ	Supports inclusion of proposed conditions that mitigate effects on powerlines. Propose additional condition	22/06/2023	No	Neutral
4	Bendigo Management Limited						NZ	Concerned that level of information is inadequate. Concerned with ecological, erosion, cultural effects. Not consistent with policy direction	23/06/2023	Yes	Opposed
8	Contact Energy Limited		PO Box 25		Clyde	9341	NZ	Concerns with effects on ecology- especially native species such as the long fin eel lamprey. would like to know that any dredging activity in this section of the Clutha Mata-au will not undermine the integrity and outcomes of Contact's efforts to encourage elver and adult eel migration. The submitter is interested in knowing what mitigation measures will be used to mitigate impacts on the freshwater and ecology of teh river.	23/06/2023	No	Neutral
9	David John Burton						NZ	local resident strongly opposes due to concerns around noise levels, proposed hours of work and air quality from engine fumes. Suggests conditions around noise control and reduced hours of work should the consent be granted.	23/06/2023	No	Opposed
10	Delina						NZ	Concerns around effects on	23/06/2023	No	Opposed

	Rongokea							ecology of the Clutha and that the ecological assessment by e3 was not fit for purpose-questions validity of sampling.			
11	Douglas Aubelle						NZ	Concerns around effects on ecology and the natural character and processes of the river.	23/06/2023	No	Opposed
12	Marilyn Joan Duxson and Arthur John Harris						NZ	no specifics given-says the submission relates to the entire application. Refers to attached document that is not included in submission.	21/06/2023	Yes	Opposed
13	Duncan Kenderdine						NZ	Supports Bendigo Management limited's submission. Concerns around the effects on the health of the Clutha and natural character of the river	23/06/2023	No	Opposed
14	Esther Water						NZ	Concerns around a lack of evidence to support the effects from the application. Historic cultural values mentioned in the Application are not appropriate to application and outdated.	23/06/2023	Yes	Opposed
15	Gregory Evan Dougherty						NZ	Concerns with effects on recreational uses, effects on ecology of the river and effects on neighbouring properties from the noise and the visual disturbance.	22/06/2023	No	Opposed
16	Jaylene Harper						NZ	Concerns regarding effects on ecology-questions suitability and the validity of the ecological assessment by e3.	23/06/2023	No	Opposed
17	Jeff Forsee						NZ	Concerns around the effects on ecology- specifically on trout, effects on amenity values and recreational uses	23/06/2023	No	Opposed
18	Jennifer Parr and Callum Grant						NZ	Concerns around effects on recreational uses, noise pollution, effects on the morphology of the Clutha and the bed of the river, concerns around the discharge of	23/06/2023	No	Opposed

								contaminates into the Clutha.			
20	Kim Fogelberg						NZ	Concerns regarding the environmental impacts of the application-specifically the use of the dredge engines which according to the submitter has negative environmental impacts and not fit for purpose.	23/06/2023	No	Opposed
21	Lucille Anna Ferrier						NZ	Concerns regarding effects on recreation uses and amenity values.	23/06/2023	No	Opposed
22	Mike Johnston							Concerns with the effects on ecology, recreational uses and safety of river users, noise pollution and effects on amenity values.	23/06/2023	No	Opposed
23	Morgan, Nathan Hudson and Hank Weathington							Concerned with the effects on heath of the Clutha. Application is not consistent with relevant policies.	23/06/2023	No	Opposed
25	New Zealand Professional Fishing Guides Association C/- Craig Smith							Concerned with effects on other river users and effects on the visual aspects of the river. Suggests a shorter consent duration should application be approved.	28/06/2023	Yes	Opposed
26	Ngaio Hart						NZ	Concerned with effects on amenity values, effects on the users of the river, ecology and water quality. Supports other submitter concerns over the type of engine the dredger has.	23/06/2023	Yes	Opposed
27	Otago Fish and Game Council							Concerns over effects on sports fish and game bird. Recommends that additional conditions be adopted that would ensure that the applicant is doing their utmost to ensure that the impacts on trout habitat and the environment of the Upper Clutha / Mata-au are sufficiently mitigated.	23/06/2023	No	Opposed

28	Penrith Holdings Limited		PO Box 23290		Wellington	6140	NZ	local resident that has concerns over the ecology of the river, disturbing the river bed, noise pollution, air quality, and the potential for the dredging to encourage the spread of the weed lagarosiphon. Submitter strongly agrees with the cultural assessment provided by Aukaha.	23/06/2023	Yes	Opposed
29	Phillip John Wilson		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]			NZ	Gives no reasoning as to why in support of the application. The submitter states he has 15 years experience in dredging in the Clutha.	23/06/2023	Yes	Support
30	Rob van der Mark		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		NZ	Local resident with concerns of disturbing the river bed, effects on water turbidity, noise pollution and effects on fish and birds.	23/06/2023	No	Opposed
32	Serge A Bonnafoux		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		NZ	Concerns with effects on water quality and ecology, recreational users of the river, effects on the bed of the river, and disturbing the scenic environment. Suggests a shorter term should the application go ahead to monitor effects.	23/06/2023	No	Opposed
33	Stefan Austin		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		NZ	Concerns with effects on recreational uses and the safety of river users.	23/06/2023	No	Opposed
35	Timothy Eason		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		NZ	Concerns around effects on ecology of the Clutha and that the ecological assessment by e3 was not fit for purpose-questions validity of sampling. Supports Cultural impact assessment submitted by Aukaha.	23/06/2023	No	Opposed
37	Anthony Ohau Ward-Holmes							Concerns around the entirety of the application. States the applicant has not provided sufficient information to determine the level of effects of the proposed activity.	23/06/2023	Yes	Opposed

Submissions below were either late or unofficial, i.e. not on the ORC Form 16 Submission form											
5	Bex Thornton						Concerned with amenity/ natural character/ amenity values	26/06/2023	No	Opposed	
Comment: Late submission. Reason: Original submission was sent in before the submission close deadline (on 23/06/2023) with two submitters (Bex Thornton and Paddle Wanaka Limited – submitter 38) on one form. Tamsin Grigg contacted the submitter following close of submissions to advise each submitter must complete the ORC submission form and they re-submitted as two separate submissions on 26/06/2023.											
6	Wayne Marsh and Billee Marsh						Concerned with effects on amenity and ecology values. Disagrees with positive effects assessment made by applicant	27/06/2023	Yes	Opposed	
Comment: Late submission. Reason: Original submission was sent in before submission close deadline (on 23/06/2023) on CODC form. Tamsin Grigg called the submitter following close of submissions and they re-submitted the submission on the ORC form on 27/06/2023. An email was also received on 23/06/2023 confirming the submitter is not a trade competitor as they had incorrectly indicated in the submission form.											
7	Central Otago Whitewater Incorporated	C/- Checketts McKay	PO Box 41		Alexandra	9340	NZ	Concerned with effects on recreational amenity of the Clutha.	23/06/2023	Yes	Opposed
Comment: Late submission. Reason: Sent in on submission close deadline without signature. A signed copy was re-sent 4 minutes after close of submission period.											
19	Kelly Humphries						NZ	Concerns regarding effects on ecology-questions suitability and the validity of the ecological assessment by e3.	24/06/2023	No	
Comment: Late submission (received 24/06/2023). Reason: Submitter thought they had clicked send before the submission period closed, but realised the next day that it hadn't sent and they re-sent it.											
24	Hellen Sola Ascough						NZ	Concerned with erosion on the bank of the river which may impact her property/ home.	28/06/2023	Yes	Opposed
Comment: Late submission (received 28/06/2023). Reason: Submitter was awaiting a return call from Council, which wasn't returned until after close of submissions. Rebecca Jackson talked with submitter on phone on 27/06/2023 and advised they were able to lodge a late submission which would be considered for acceptance.											
31	Robert Olerenshaw						NZ	Concerns around effects on ecology of the Clutha and that the ecological assessment by e3 was not fit for purpose-questions validity of sampling. Supports Cultural impact assessment submitted by Aukaha.	23/06/2023		Opposed
Comment: Late submission.											
34	Stephen Jack						NZ	In support of the application for the job opportunities and wealth of the community.	23/06/2023	No	Support

Comment: Submitted in email on 23/06/2023, not on ORC form.											
36	Todd Adolph							Concerned with effects on ecology, impacts on local fishery, recreational uses and noise pollution.	27/06/2023	No	Opposed
Comment: Late submission, Reason: Sent in before submission close deadline as email, re-sent on form after submission period closed											
38	Paddle Wanaka Limited					NZ		Concerned with discharge of contaminates/health of the river, visual aspects, effects on recreational uses.	26/06/2023	No	Opposed
Comment: Late submission. Reason: Original submission was sent in before the submission close deadline (on 23/06/2023) with two submitters (Paddle Wanaka Limited and Bex Thornton – submitter 5) on one form. Tamsin Grigg contacted the submitter following close of submissions to advise each submitter must complete the ORC submission form and they re-submitted as two separate submissions on 26/06/2023.											
39	Emmilee Fendall Leech					NZ		Concerns around effects on ecology of the Clutha and that the ecological assessment by e3 was not fit for purpose-questions validity of sampling. Supports Cultural impact assessment submitted by Aukaha.	26/06/2023	No	Opposed
Comment: Late submission. Reason: Submitter sent submission before submission close deadline (on 23/06/2023) with the incorrect attachment. Tamsin Grigg contacted submitter to advise of incorrect attachment on 26/06/2023 and they re-sent with the correct attachment on 26/06/2023.											
40	Oliver Moon							Concerns on Cold Gold Clutha operational practices including discharge of oil, fuel and bilge to water and anchoring practices	23/06/2023	Yes	Opposed
Comment: Submitted in email on 23/06/2023, not on ORC form. Tamsin Grigg called submitter to confirm surname and that they would like their email considered as a submission.											
41	Commissioner of Crown Lands, Land Information New Zealand		PO Box 416,		Queenstown	9348	NZ	Provides written approval under s95 of the RMA. Highlights that authorisation from the Commissioner of Crown Lands (including Contact Energy and Ngai Tahu) is still required.	12/07/2023	No	Neutral
Comment: Submitter sent submission to Central Otago District Council on 26/06/2023. As it was on the ORC forms, CODC have provided to ORC after the submission close date.											