

**Before the Environment Court
at Christchurch**

ENV-2024-CHC-36

**I Mua I Te Kōti Taiao o Aoteroa
Ōtautahi Rohe**

UNDER the Resource Management Act 1991

IN THE MATTER of appeals under clause 14(1) of the First
Schedule of the Act in relation to the non-
freshwater planning instrument parts of the
proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement
(PORPS)

BETWEEN **TE RŪNANGA O MOERAKI, KĀTI HUIRAPA
RŪNAKA KI PUKETERAKI, TE RŪNANGA O
ŌTĀKOU, HOKONUI RŪNANGA, TE AO
MARAMA INCORPORATED, TE RŪNANGA O
ŌRAKA APARIMA, TE RŪNANGA O AWARUA,
AND TE RŪNANGA O NGĀI TAHU**

Appellants

AND **OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL**

Respondent

**Notice of Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Limited's wish to be a party to
proceedings pursuant to section 274 RMA**

Dated 5 June 2024

Solicitor acting:

Jackie St John
In-house counsel
22 Maclaggan St
Dunedin 9016
Jackie.stjohn@oceanagold.com

Counsel acting:

Stephen Christensen
Project Barrister
421 Highgate, Dunedin 9010
P 027 448 2325
stephen@projectbarrister.nz

TO: THE REGISTRAR

Environment Court

Christchurch

1. Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Limited (**OceanaGold**) wishes to be a party to the following proceedings:
Te Rūnanga o Moeraki, Kāti Huirapa Rūnaka ki Puketeraki, Te Rūnanga o Ōtākou, Hokonui Rūnanga, Te Ao Marama Incorporated, Te Rūnanga o Ōraka Aparima, Te Rūnanga o Awarua, and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu (ENV-2024-CHC-36).
2. OceanaGold made a submission on the subject matter of the proceedings.
3. OceanaGold is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Act.
4. OceanaGold is interested in the parts of the proceeding which relate to the following particular issues:
 - a. the definition of Māori land, papakāika and the approach to the expression of rakatirataka in MW-P4, the Coastal Environment policies, UFD-O4 (now located in the LF-LS section) and the UFD objectives, policies and methods;
 - b. the approach to integrated management, including IM-O3 and IM-P1; and
 - c. the approach to climate change mitigation and adaptation in IM-P12, as well as IM-P10.
5. OceanaGold does not object to amendments to the definition of Māori land, papakāika and the approach to the expression of rakatirataka, however OceanaGold would not support any amendments to UFD-O4 which change the effect of the Decision

version i.e. the provision of rural areas for primary production and rural industry, and the long-term viability of primary production and rural communities.

6. OceanaGold does not support amendment to IM-O3, IM-P1, IM-P10 and IM-P12 and considers that these provisions already meet the purpose of sustainable management and are consistent with the Resource Management Act 1991.
7. OceanaGold agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Dated this 5th day of June 2024

pp 

SW Christensen/JE St John
Counsel for Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Limited

The address for service of the appellant is 22 Maclaggan Street, Dunedin.

Documents for service on the filing party may be left at that address for service or may be—

- (a) posted to the party at 22 Maclaggan Street, Dunedin or
- (b) emailed to the party at Jackie.stjohn@oceanagold.com