

Summary of Submissions

Application # RM20.280

Dunedin City Council - Landfill Construction and Operation, Smooth Hill, Dunedin O/N

Status	Number of Submissions
Neutral	9
Opposed	272
Support	2
Total Number of Submissions:	283

To Be Heard	Number of Submissions
Not Specified	1
No	135
Yes	147
Total:	283

Submitter No	Submitter	Submissions Summary	Received Date	To Be Heard	Status
1.	Matthew Anthony York	Concerned that not enough evidence that Otokia Creek will not be affected	11/10/2021	No	Opposed
2.	Ronald Julien King	Concerns regarding Fire Control, Odour, Dust, Birds, Seclusion, Opening Hours and Structural	08/10/2021	Yes	Neutral
3.	Brittany Laing	Concerned regarding the affect on Brighton community - their land, their air and local water bodies	24/10/2021	Yes	Opposed
4.	Lisa Bosshard	Concerns about environmental impact of the dump - should be pushed back to Council to come up with some better options. Asks that new law and ethics of accepting the proposal and potential harm to environment	24/10/2021	Yes	Opposed
5.	Rhonda Wilson	No consultation with community, concerned that it will leach into the surrounding wetland and contaminate Otakai Stream and eventually Brighton Bay putting a unique environment at risk	28/10/2021	No	Opposed
6.	Daniel Blair	Concerned with the affect on Otakai Stream, that the resource will be damaged and become unavailable or dangerous to use	29/10/2021	Yes	Opposed
7.	Tracy and Matthew de Woeps	Concerned with impact on coastal regional and impact on swimming beat at Brighton	31/10/2021	No	Opposed
8.	Richard James McIntosh	Proposal does not adhere to environmental standards. Concerned with the impact on water ways	01/11/2021	No	Opposed
9.	Sharon Leitch	Concerned about the impact on the quality of the environment at Brighton Beach	01/11/2021	No	Opposed
10.	Dr. Karsten Schneider	Concerned as the applicant has	01/11/2021	No	Opposed

		disregarded NESFW, rushing the application process by disregarding more recent environmental evidence, long term risks of leachate contamination			
11.	Fredric Michael Patrick	Insufficient technological information upon which to approve consents, in the main the geotechnical information	01/11/2021	No	Neutral
12.	Elizabeth Cotton	Opposes due to environmental effects on the greater Brighton area - south west prevailing wind from site blows toward Brighton. Concerned with impact on Otokai water way flows and water quality	02/11/2021	No	Opposed
13.	Leanne Stenhouse	Concerned about the risk of contamination and reduced flow to the Otokai Creek, application does not align with the NSFV, damage to wildlife and wetlands, risk of further pollution caused by Akatore fault	02/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
14.	Rebecca Aburn representing Brighton Surf Lifesaving Club	Concerns regarding contamination of Otokai Creek and the affects on beach use as a result, proposal does not meet the NSFV	02/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
15.	Gavin Hartley	Supports as benefits out weight the potential for damage to environment	02/11/2021	No	Support
16.	Brian Peat	Concerns regarding the increase of bird life in the area, would like information on why the landfill is not located on flat land	02/11/2021	No	Neutral
17.	G L & E G McLeod Family Trust	Objects to encroachment on family owned land that is adjacent to proposed site, devalues property, increased risk of fire and probability of plastic and other air borne rubbish putting grazing stock at risk, increase of scavenger birds	29/10/2021	No	Opposed
18.	Keara Brownlie	Opposes on grounds of the risk of	04/11/2021	No	Opposed

		contamination and reduced flow at Otokai Creek Estuary. Also due to high value of wetland areas and need for their protection			
19.	James O'Mahony	Concerned about fire risk	07/11/2021	No	Opposed
20.	Ernest Jefferson Munro	Concerns about pollution of Otokai Creek, risk fire and bird strike risk to planes coming from and to Dunedin Airport	08/11/2021	No	Opposed
21.	Enfield Holdings Limited	Concerns about increased bird activity within 13km of Dunedin airport	08/11/2021	No	Opposed
22.	Gerard Closs	Concerned with regard to the long term inter-generational legacy of pollution does not meet NPSF	09/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
23.	Scott, Justine, Thomas and George Weatherall	Concerned about potential pollution of Otokai Creek and Brighton Beach - change of purpose of what is a very special area - potential significant fire	09/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
24.	Saddle Hill Community Board	Concerned about lack of communication with the community, change to area, risk of bird strike and the risk of contamination entering Otokai Creek, fire risk	09/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
25.	Richard Davison	Objects to extensive environment, amenity, food safety, community wellbeing, biodiversity, water quality, odour, traffic safety and aviation safety	09/11/2021	No	Opposed
26.	Debbie Willocks and Robert Norman	Concerned about the impact on the beach and property's value	10/11/2021	No	Opposed
27.	New Zealand Air Line Pilots Association	Concerned about the risk of bird strike Dunedin Airport already has an elevated bird hazard risk and believes the landfill site will significantly exacerbate the problem	10/11/2021		Opposed
28.	Michele Carol Laredo-Richardson	Opposes the discharge of waste and	10/11/2021	No	Opposed

		leachate onto land discharge to Otokai Creek where the water will flow affecting sea life			
29.	Chairperson/Mosgiel-Taieri Community Board	Neutral but wish to highlight concerns about additional traffic and ensuring appropriate mitigation measures are in place to avoid contamination should a natural disaster occur	11/11/2021	No	Neutral
30.	Lorraine Mary Marlow	Concerns about the pollution and follow up destruction of Brighton beach and creek by toxic water	11/11/2021	No	Opposed
31.	Julie Anne Macmillan	Concerned with the risk of leachate contaminating swimming at the beach	11/11/2021	No	Opposed
32.	Anthony Ivor Reeder	Concerned with the long-term negative social and behavioural consequences	13/11/2021	No	Opposed
33.	Karen Ann Philip	Concerned with destruction of indigenous vegetation, wetland, health of water along with disturbing the habitat of native wildlife. Also concerned with water, air and land pollution and increased road activity	13/11/2021	No	Opposed
34.	Wynn Owen	Concerned with risk of pollutants/contaminants leaching into creek and coastal areas, welfare of wetlands. Does not meet NESF	13/11/2021	No	Opposed
35.	Liz Owen	Concerned with risk of contaminants to the Creek, pollution of the sea, destruction of wetlands negative impact on lifestyle, contrary to NESF	13/11/2021	No	Opposed
36.	David Murray Hanan	Socially it is not wanted, Culturally at odds from Iwi values of Kaitiakitanga. Environmental setting and the ability to control discharges is highly dubious at best. Economically – not sustainable – Alternatives available	13/11/2021	No	Opposed

		which have not been explored (1992 Landfill Futures report is irrelevant)			
37.	Dunedin International Airport Limited C/- Gallaway Cook Allan	Bird Strike	11/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
38.	Brian John Chalmers	Concerns with 1. Water Contamination 2. Air Contamination 3. Reduction in Quality of Life 4. Geo technical (Earthquake risk) 5. Aviation Risk	14/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
39.	Lorraine Frances Gabanski	Concerned with Water Contamination, Bird Strike, Air Contamination and Reduction in Quality of Life	14/11/2021	No	Opposed
40.	Elise Velenski	Concerned with pollution to Otokia Creek and Brighton Beach, risk of fire and bird strike, adverse affect on local iwi,	14/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
41.	Maria Sydor	Doesn't comply with NESF, site hasn't been evaluated since 1992 and lots changed since then,. Earthquake risk not considered, risk of fire, loss of wetlands, sea-fog will trap smell, adverse effect on underground streams, risk of leachate, too close of airport and residential area	14/11/2021	No	Opposed
42.	Morris, Wayne and Gillian	Concerned with negative effects on lifestyle and wetlands, and lack of monitoring environmental effects by DCC	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
43.	Granger, Antony James and Michelle Anne	Seek to make a neutral submission with conditions regarding: sealing of McLaren Gully Road and Big Stone Road, mitigation of fire risk, predator-free fencing, covering rubbish at end of day, operating hours, quick removal of illegally	15/11/2021	Yes	Neutral

		dumped waste, and Council to accept liability and cost for damage to neighbouring property and trees, and due to adverse effect on property prices the submitter seeks consent to subdivide their property into 2 hectare lots with ability to put house dwellings as compensation			
44.	Becky Lawrence	Concerns over negative impact on water quality, air quality, wildlife, ecosystems, noise, loss of natural beauty and increased traffic. Assessment carried out 29 years ago and area has since changed	14/11/2021	No	Opposed
45.	Craig Stuart Standing	Concerns with minimum separation distance of landfill from residences and this not being recorded in the LIM report, pollution to Otokia Creek and Brighton Beach, and increased fire risk	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
46.	Viktorija Kahui	Concerns with leachate to the Creek, effect of pollution on water, wildlife, habitat and coast. EIA is outdated and should use LSF. No consideration of social wellbeing. Negative effects of Akatore Fault-line. Consideration of alternative site insufficient.	14/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
47.	Bell, Richard and Rebecca	Concerns with lack of information and property value decreases, environmental impacts, pollutants leaching to water, negative impacts to endangered wildlife, odour, perception and value of image, birdstrike, freshwater guidelines	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
48.	Trish Chaplin-Cheyne and Marty Cheyne	contrary to NESF, concerned with affect on Ecology (wildlife, habitat) and Bird Strike	14/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
49.	Stephen William Bennett	Concerned with Fire risk,	14/11/2021	No	Opposed

		contaminants in water and land (beach), loss of fish, bird strike, Akatore Fault, impact on Falcons and outdated investigation.			
50.	Glenys Joy Bennett	Concerned with contaminants into sea, fire risk, bird strike, impact on native birds, Akatore Fault and outdated investigation	14/11/2021	No	Opposed
51.	Andrew David Hutcheon	Concerned with discharge of waste and leachate to water and land, discharge of gas and odour to air. Concerned that tool used to obtain flood flows doesn't properly capture high and low flow regimes. Seismic risk not properly assessed. Negative impact on skinks as increase of rodents at landfill leads to increased feral cats and mustelids. Risk to NZ Falcons due to loss of habitat. Bird Strike	14/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
52.	Director General of Conservation - Use for Ltd & Publicly Notified Consents	Seek to make a neutral submission but if consents are granted then conditions should be required to protect conservation values, including water level monitoring in wetlands, water quality and fish and invertebrate surveys, wetland health monitoring, ecological management plans, and provision to review consents if adverse environmental effects detected	15/11/2021	No	Neutral
53.	Jennifer Alice Rose McLeod and Peter Andrew McLeod	Concerned with detrimental effect on property value, water quality, poisoned seagulls to their lifestyle block which would be harmful to livestock. Detrimental effect to wetlands and water quality, increased risk of fire. Deeply concerned about loss of land for road construction and waste blowing	14/11/2021	No	Opposed

		on to their property - who is responsible for cleaning.			
54.	Joshua George McLeod	Concerned amount of groundwater to be taken from Otokia catchment, pollution of Otokia Creek/Stream, loss of wetland, loss of habitat and wildlife.	14/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
55.	Linda Reynolds	Concerned with water take and development affecting wetland, creek disturbance, effect of releasing effluent to local area, degradation of local environment, risk of birdstrike	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
56.	Ōtokia Creek and Marsh Habitat Trust	Concerned ecological effects on wetlands are underestimated, critical of the EIANZ approach, negative impact on native aquatic life from leachate, leachate inadequately assessed and lack of long term water monitoring, Climate change not considered, fault lines not identified and long term effects of water pollutants which wont degrade.	14/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
57.	Vianney Santagati	Concerned with loss of wetlands, position of landfill in a waterway and leachate running through Otokia Stream to Brighton Beach, loss of amenity and community enjoyment of Brighton Beach, lack of fire plan	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
58.	John William Finlayson	Concerned that the application assessment was done in 1990s so is outdated, discharge of waste and leachate onto land and Otokia Creek, degradation of Otokia Creek (wildlife and habitat), destruction of wetlands, bird strike. Concerned that DCC have hastily submitted application in an attempt to get it non-notified and not too much	14/11/2021	Yes	Opposed

		scrutiny.			
59.	Judd, Stephanie Ann and Blair Ronald	Concerns with risk of leachate to Otokia Creek and Brighton Beach, fire risk, odours, increased risk of bird strike, LIM report did not refer to landfill designation near residence	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
60.	Hans and Renate Scholz	Concerned with discharge of waste and leachate to land specifically beach. Concerned application was rushed so not affected by legislation change	14/11/2021	No	Opposed
61.	South Coast Neighbourhood Society Incorporated	Concerned with leachate escaping into Otokia Creek and out to sea, negative impact on Otokia Creek, Wetlands, Brighton Beach and wider community, fire risk, bird strike risk, risk to Native Eastern Falcon and lizard habitat, odour, reduced flow in Otokia Catchment, application does not align with NES for Freshwater	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
62.	Kenneth Fleck	Concerned about leachate to creek, smell, recreation on creek, damage to fish, bird strike, application submitted 4 days prior to change in NZ policy prohibiting this activity.	14/11/2021	No	Opposed
63.	Kim Fleck	Concerned with Environmental degradation (odour, noise, leachate), Effects of climate change (high rain fall events), Personal well-being (social impact), Negative effect on bird and fish life, Bird Strike	14/11/2021	No	Opposed
64.	Joseph William Hancock	Concerns with leachate into Okokia Creek and Brighton beach, odour, bird strike, extra traffic, fire risk and contrary to NESF	14/11/2021	No	Opposed
65.	Pip Early	Concerned with degradation of Otokia waterway, traffic volume, fire risk	11/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
66.	William Early	Concerned with degradation of	11/11/2021	Yes	Opposed

		Otokia waterway, traffic volume, fire risk			
67.	Brooke Searle	Potential protected site for ancient kelp forest (Uni Otago research study being carried out). Concerned with damage to protected bush 3km from property from discharge of leachate and waste	11/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
68.	Patricia Elizabeth Clare Lindsay	Concerned with leachate to creek and beach, loss of recreation at beach and creek, loss of wetlands, is not aligned with ORC Local Governance Statement 2020 and ORC objectives.	11/11/2021	No	Opposed
69.	Colin Leslie Weatherall	Concerns about the impact on the environment and	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
70.	Paula Lee Hasler with support of Cycling Otago Inc	Concerned about negative impact on recreational users of the roads and the impact on the environment, natural landscape and character	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
71.	Andrea Helen McMillan	Concerned about leachate and waste water entering freshwater source leading to Brighton Beach which would have been ruled out by NES for freshwater taking effect 4 days later, risk of birdstrike, discharges hazardous to wildlife and enjoyment of area, including native wildlife, fire risk, earthquake risk with potential for contamination of waterways, removal of scarce water from area, loss of wetlands and habitat, impact on health and wellbeing of community	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
72.	Michelle Lobo	Concerned with pollution of tank water collected from roofs, draining of wetland, pollution of Otokia Creek, negative impact on community enjoyment of area, impact on flora	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed

		and fauna including native NZ falcons			
73.	Simon Charles Hart	Concerned about leachate and waste water entering waterway that leads to Brighton Beach, impact of water take on flora and wildlife, fire risk, bird strike, social impact assessment considered only jobs and not health and wellbeing of community, increased traffic, proposal info not included in LIM report, negative impact on enjoyment of local area	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
74.	Adrian Green on behalf of Saffhill Forestry Estates Limited	Concerned about the possibility of losing significant areas of trees if required to set back 10m from new road formation	12/11/2021	Yes	Neutral
75.	Big Stone Forest Limited C/- Gallaway Cook Allan	Concerned about adverse effects on hydrology and water quality, habitat and indigenous biodiversity, fire risk, domestic water supplies, amenity of neighbouring properties from nuisances including odour, dust, pests, noise and contaminants; rural character, amenity and wellbeing; LIM report did not identify the landfill designation as being relevant to that land	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
76.	William Leonard Leith	Concerned with potential impact on local environment, proximity to Otokia Creek which leads to Brighton Beach and runs through swamp lands with protected wildlife, proposal does not take into account changes in population of Brighton area and scientific progress since this site was selected in the 1990s.	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
77.	Ailsa and Keith Lucking	Concerned with water and soil contamination, risk of fire and devastation of NZ Falcons	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed

78.	Al Duncan	Concerned with contamination, pollution and general damage to the natural surroundings	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
79.	Cavan Bruce Jenkinson	Concerned with risk of spreading salmonella Enteritidis due to proximity to migration path of seagulls and other bird life; negative impact on wetland	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
80.	Alan Tom Brown	Concerned with contamination, assessment of environmental effects, ecology, effects on wildlife.	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
81.	Alexis Brown	contamination, species loss	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
82.	Peter James Cheyne	Concerned about negative impact to water quality of Otokia stream and Brighton Beach; impact on residents and recreation in local area; proximity to airport with bird hazards	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
83.	Andrew Raymond Peet	concerned with rubbish trucks on the roads and pollution to rivers and ocean	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
84.	Anne-Marie Watson	concerned with water quality, damage to the environment and negative effect on wildlife	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
85.	Annie Robinson	concerned about water quality at Brighton beach and the Creek	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
86.	James Molloy	Concerned with risk of releasing hazardous materials in a significant seismic event- the seismic design for the project including land fill liner appears to have been based on NZS1170 Part 0 Importance 'Level 3' seismic design criteria, but it may be more appropriate to design to Importance NZS1170 Part 0 'Level 4' seismic design criteria	15/11/2021	Yes	Neutral
87.	Anthony Wendell York	concerned with negative	12/11/2021	No	Opposed

		downstream effects and pollution specifically to Brighton Beach and Otaka Creek			
88.	Otago Aero Club	Concerned about increased bird activity which will reduce aviation safety; also in support of the D.I.A.L. submission	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
89.	Te Runanga o Otakou Incorporated	In support with regards to low degree of connection of the site with Ōtokia Creek, together with the changes in design to reduce the impact of the activity on wetlands in the area; support is subject to the adoption of the mitigation measures proposed in the amended cultural impact assessment lodged as part of the application	15/11/2021	Yes	Support
90.	Stephen Alan Chittock	Concerned with loss and pollution of wetlands; pollution of Otokia Creek, Brighton Beach and inshore fisheries	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
91.	Ingrid Leary M.P.	Concerned with negative impact on on community and rural amenity; ecological risk to wetland habitat; negative effects on waterways and catchments; contaminant effects; landfill fire risk; air safety relating to bird infestations; mana whenua concerns	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
92.	Ingrid Leary M.P.	Concerned with negative impact on on community and rural amenity; ecological risk to wetland habitat; negative effects on waterways and catchments; contaminant effects; landfill fire risk; air safety relating to bird infestations; mana whenua	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed

		concerns			
93.	Joanne Gail Henderson	Concerned about contamination of Brighton Beach and Otokia Creek, and impact on endangered wildlife species	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
94.	Suzanne Middleton	Concerned about pollution of Otokia Stream and Brighton Estuary, impact on wildlife and native plants, DCC could be focussing on reducing waste, increasing composting and recycling rather than creating a new landfill	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
95.	Dr Rebecca Cumming	Concerned about: decline of native flora and fauna; impact of leachate and contaminants on surrounding areas; bird strike at Dunedin airport; fire risk; increased traffic; increased dust and rubbish blowing off trailers; odour	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
96.	Simon Andrew Laing	Concerned that leachate contamination risk has not been adequately assessed; negative impact on general public perception of Otokia Creek as a safe place to swim; landfill liner has not been engineered with consideration for recent research by seismologists	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
97.	Bruce and Lesley McMillan	Concerned that the discharge of contaminants into McColl/Otokai Creek will contaminate wetlands/ponds in the area, concerned where the ground water will come from, traffic on Big Stone Road and fire risk. Also has concerns about bird strike	12/11/2021	No	Opposed

98.	Sandra and Christian Rampe	Opposes due to odour, increased traffic and associated noise, fire risk, water and air contamination, bird strike and contamination of Otokai Creek and then subsequently Brighton Beach	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
99.	Alistair McPhee	Concerned about the ecological and archaeological impact	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
100.	Benjamin Shayler	Water contamination at Brighton beach and Otaka Stream	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
101.	Brent Craik	pollution of waterways	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
102.	Brian and Mandie Langley	incorrect naming of tender naming, risk of fires, pollution of waterways	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
103.	Res.Awesome Limited	Concerned about not meeting DCC commitment to Zero Waste and Carbon Zero by 2030; more modern innovation/technology could be used to manage waste; proposed site not suitable for groundwater take; negative impact on local ecosystems; impact of toxins and leachate on natural area and community/visitors/future generations	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
104.	Brian Allan Bolt	concerned with pollution to waterways and beach, loss of recreation and wildlife	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
105.	Zoe Hill	Concerned about pollution of creek and beach, earthquake risk, bird strike	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
106.	Brighton Pony Club	Increased traffic and associated risk to riders, water contamination, pollution and increased pest numbers	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
107.	Zachary Williams	Concerned about contamination, species loss, water quality and	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed

		swimming			
108.	Bronwyn Bowler	Concerned about short and long term effects on environment, nature and beach; increased traffic of large vehicles and danger to pedestrians	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
109.	Will Robinson	Concerned about contamination of creek and impact on swimming	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
110.	Victoria Elizabeth Watt	Concerned about pollution of creek running through native bush; effects on wildlife; effects on wetlands; destruction of the site at Smooth Hill; pollution leaching onto Brighton Beach	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
111.	Bronwyn Anne Miles	Concerned about risk of contamination to rivers, risk of fire and loss of flora and fauna, increased traffic on road and contamination at property in strong winds	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
112.	Carol Dawn Fibbes	Concerned about the contamination to rivers and the risk to whitebait, flatties, eels etc	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
113.	Carol Maree Dempster	Concerned about the risk of contamination leaching in to local rivers and risk to ecosystems and coast	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
114.	Castipher Benjamin McSkimming	Concerned about water quality and wild life	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
115.	Vic Inglis	Concerned about proposal not showing on submitter's LIM, potential for contamination of the popular Brighton Beach, lowering value of submitter's property, proposed landfill breaches Freshwater Act	12/11/2021	No	Opposed

116.	Catherine Jane Shayler	Concerned about water contamination	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
117.	Trevor Griffin	Concerned with long term creek pollution of the Brighton creek/Taieri river	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
118.	Timothy John Walsh	Concerned that pollution (chemical, air blown rubbish) will not be well managed, concerned about rodents, wild cats, leachate penetrating landfill walls, risk to Otokia and future generations not being able to use beach and estuary for swimming	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
119.	Terry McLaren	Concerned about pollution of creek and beach, negative impact on lifestyle in Brighton, impact on property prices, schooling and local clubs	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
120.	Teresa Williams	Concerned with water contamination, native wildlife impacts, timing of the application before the now current NES for Freshwater which the application does not align with	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
121.	Teena Ann Joyce	Concerned with pollution and contamination of Brighton Beach and impact on users of these areas, surrounding coast and Otokia Creek, disruption to wildlife including Native Eastern Falcon, potential contamination of fish as a food source, DCC should be focussing on Zero Waste targets	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
122.	Sydney Brown	Concerned about contamination, effects on ecology, impact of	12/11/2021	No	Opposed

		leaching on Brighton Beach			
123.	Suzanne Tracey Jayne Rule	Concerned with pollution of Otokia Creek and Brighton Beach, loss of wetland and wildlife, fire risk, earthquake risk, risk to flights to/from Dunedin Airport	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
124.	Susannah Mary Yeoman	Concerned about creek pollution, negative impacts on wildlife, wetlands, community wellbeing and ecology	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
125.	Chris Perkins	concerned with old data being used, environmental impact on breach and Otaka creek	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
126.	Susanah Elizabeth-Jean Walker	Concerned about water contamination, negative impacts on beaches and swimming holes, increased traffic and odour	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
127.	Dallas Andrew Hamilton	Concerned about water contamination, fear of pollution of local waterways, fire risk	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
128.	Stuart Nicholson	Concerns about fire risk, wildlife danger, human safety, impact on beach activities, transport cost	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
129.	Daniel West	Concerned about assessment of effects and flood water flows	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
130.	Daphne Frances McCabe	Concerned about toxic pollution in water downstream, native species adversely affected in waterways, increase in seagull population affecting airport	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
131.	Stephen Baker	Concerns about pollution and its impact on future generations	12/11/2021	No	Opposed

132.	Deborah Tomlin	Concerned about earthquake risk due to proximity of Akatore fault, bird strike, fire risk	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
133.	Stephanie Bingle	Concerned about pollution of creek, bird strike, odour, impact on fishing, loss of recreational value, different locations north of Dunedin could be used for landfill	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
134.	Diane Ruth Hamilton	Concerned about fire risk, water contamination, fear of pollution of local waterways, loss of recreational value, adverse effects on the surrounding area	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
135.	Dolores Nabbs	Concerned about water quality, effects on bird life (especially penguins), inability to swim in the creek outlets due to water contamination, inability to collect safe seafood, adverse effects on wetlands and falcon breeding	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
136.	Duncan Todd Wall	Concerned about toxins leaching into creek, odour travelling down the creek, increased traffic through Brighton	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
137.	Eduardo Lobo Altare	Concerned about potential contamination of the Otokia stream	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
138.	Eileen Christine Shaw	Concerned about environmental effects, bird strike, species of birds and fish	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
139.	Elise Anne McGillivray	Concerned about the contamination of the environment, negative impacts on wildlife (especially native wildlife), the lack of a fire plan	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
140.	Elizabeth and Richard Campbell	Concerned about water contamination and leaching into recreation swimming areas, species loss, risk of fire (especially with no plan in place)	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed

141.	Stella Brown	Concerned about negative impact on native species, rubbish going into the wild	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
142.	Emma Hands	Concerned about the community and tourism activity, pollution in Otokia creek and surrounding beach waters, effects on wildlife and future generations	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
143.	Simon Walker	Concerned about pollution of local waterways, loss of recreational value, losing the right to gather fresh seafood, risk to health with swimming and surfing	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
144.	Flynn Walsh	Concerned about pollution	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
145.	Frances Ella Booth	Concerned about contamination, risk of fire, species loss	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
146.	Simon Hendry	Concerned with pollution of local waterways	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
147.	Colin James Astle	Concerned about contamination of beach, waterways, wildlife, loss of recreations.	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
148.	Frances Elizabeth Rae	Concerned about water quality, pollution (leaching), fire risk	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
149.	Coleen Elizabeth Fletcher	Concerned about impact on lifestyle, scenery, wildlife, and pollution of the creek	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
150.	Sienna Walker	Concerned with water contamination, wildlife loss, odour, increased traffic (trucks)	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
151.	Fraser Hawker	Concerned about fire risk, bird strike, water contamination, pollution of Brighton beach	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
152.	Shona Janine Williers	Concerned with safety for recreation	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed

		swimming at Brighton Beach, leakage of landfill at creek origin, fire risk, negative impact on environment/native wildlife			
153.	Garry and Susan Irvine	Concerned about fire risk, pest control, increased seagull population affecting other bird species, pollution by rubbish blown by the wind	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
154.	Laurence William Ashworth	Concerned with contamination of creeks and waterways, leaching of contaminants into sub-soil, fire risk, increased traffic, air pollution	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
155.	Gary and Chelsea Topping	Concerned about water contamination, pollution, habitat loss	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
156.	Leith Conway	concerned with water quality and risk to wildlife	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
157.	Shirley Shackelford	Concerns about fire risk, odour, impact on Brighton lifestyle, swimming, roads (increased traffic), waterways and enjoyment of local area, increased dust, decrease in property value, impact on mental health of residents	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
158.	Leo Hill	concerned with pollution, risk to wildlife, water contamination	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
159.	Gregory Jolly	Concerned about contamination, risk of fire, noise	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
160.	Heath Rattan	Concerned about species loss, risk of health issues, contamination	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
161.	Lloyd Robert Meikle	concerned with pollution to Otakia creek, contamination of beach, fire risk, airport risk	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
162.	Heidi and Philip Newman	Concerned about water and soil contamination, maintaining a healthy habitat for native eels, fish and plant life	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed

163.	Sheila Mary Wall	Concerned about pollution of local waterways, impact on community and children who play in the water, potential of runoff	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
164.	Loveday Rose Why	Concerned about contaminants leaching into Otokia Creek, impact on recreation, natural beauty, community and whanau value, landfill does not align with NES for Freshwater	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
165.	Honor Jackson Seatter	Concerned about contamination of beach, heavy vehicle traffic, general pollution of the surrounding area (including houses and farmland)	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
166.	Lyle Cottenden	concerned with contamination, habitat/species loss, risk of fire, bird strike, value of property in Brighton	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
167.	Sheena Kirkland	Concerned about contamination of Otokia Creek and impact on recreation, application appears to have been rushed before new NES for Freshwater, landfill proposal does not fit with political landscape of aiming to clean up waterways	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
168.	Lyn McLaren	concerned with impact on environment, pollution of creek and beach, odour and property values	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
169.	Shea Louise Corrigan	Concerns about pollution, contamination, increased traffic and impact on swimming and freedom	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
170.	Isabella Mary Lillian Yeoman	Concerned about harm to wildlife and pollution	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
171.	Lynda Power	concerned with pollution, risk of fires,	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
172.	Jack David Yeoman	Concerned about pollution in Otokia	12/11/2021	No	Opposed

		creek, harm to plants and wildlife			
173.	Shane Turner	Concerns about odour, bird strike, community wellbeing, future generations, proximity to airport, Brighton area has changed significantly since site was first identified, impact on environment	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
174.	Malachi Turner	concerned about pollution, damage to wetlands, lizards and falcons	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
175.	Jenny Evington	Concerned about water contamination, loss of wetlands, impact on native species	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
176.	Marc Yeoman	concerned about impact on wildlife, impact on community wellbeing, impact on health, fear of environmental disaster due to Akatore fault line	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
177.	Shane Michael Meade	Concerned about water contamination, ecology, effect on wildlife, constant traffic through Ocean View and Brighton	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
178.	Marina and Pete Conway	concerned with water contamination, fire risk, loss of wildlife, negative impact on community well-being, bird strike, odour, future generations use of beach	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
179.	Scott James Garth	Concerns about water contamination, community safety and wellbeing, loss of Eastern Falcon habitat, decrease in property value, impact on the school if less families wish to live in the area	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
180.	Marissa Conway	concerned about polluted water and no wildlife	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
181.	Jillianne Margaret York	Concerned about the location of the	12/11/2021	No	Opposed

		landfill due to contamination of the beach and surrounding native vegetation			
182.	Marissa Rogers	concerned with pollution, effected on bird and wildlife, odour, community well-being and increased traffic and noise	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
183.	John Matthew Crawford-Smith	Concerned about contamination into waterways via leeching, fire risk	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
184.	Mark Baxter	concerned with long-term environment cost - contamination, risk of fire, species	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
185.	John McNicon Seatter	Concerned about contamination, heavy traffic, pollution	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
186.	Mark McNabb	concerned with pollution and contamination of the creek as it will affect their ability to fish for whitebait and lobsters and swimming	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
187.	Sasha Turner	Concerns about water contamination, leaching, application does not align with NES for Freshwater, impact on leisure, landfill close to the Akatore fault line, the water assessment is insufficient to eliminate major floods as an environmental risk, impact on native species	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
188.	Sarah and Justin Mitchell	Concerned about environmental effects of contamination/pollution, species loss, attraction of pests, fire risk, impact on future family using the area	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
189.	Judith van Aalst	Concerned about water and soil contamination, fire risk, pollution, loss of species	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
190.	Sandra May Craik	Concerns about contamination,	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed

		pollution, loss of wildlife to wetlands, impact on mental health and welfare of local residents and species			
191.	Jonathan Friedlander	Concerned about pollution, leachate, increased traffic	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
192.	Sally Tanner	Concerned about environmental impact i.e. leaching and contamination of waterway, pollution, impact on species habitat, visual impact on area	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
193.	Mary Clare Hambly	Concerned with contamination of Otokia creek, waters, loss of wetlands, loss of habitat, affects on wildlife particularly loss of breeding grounds for native falcons	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
194.	Ryan Hanna	Concerns about contamination, water quality, wildlife, impact on use of area for swimming/fishing/surfing in the future	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
195.	Max McNabb	concerned with smell, pollution of creek and loss of recreation and wildlife	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
196.	Maxwell John Douglas Walsh	concerned with contamination of river and sea, loss of swimming, pollution, increased green house gasses, nitrogen in local area, Brighton becoming a ghost town	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
197.	Megan Anne Meade	concerned with beach contamination	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
198.	Rosanna Hill	Concerns about pollution, contamination of Otokia creek, loss of wetlands and habit for wildlife, Akatore fault near landfill site, landfill contradicts NES for Freshwater	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
199.	Michael Ralph Ward	concerned with pollution of Brighton	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed

		beach and Brighton creek			
200.	Karen and Jeff Ede	concerned with effect on wildlife, beaches, creek, contamination, fire risk and property value	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
201.	Rodney McCabe	Concerns about impact of contamination on environment and wildlife	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
202.	Julie Hill	concerned with contamination, noise and odour	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
203.	Justin Rackham	concerned with creek pollution, beach pollution, earthquake risk	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
204.	Karen Julie Beattie	concerned with bird strike, run off, contamination and pollution of beaches, waterways including underground streams, earthquake risk	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
205.	Ray Beattie	Concerns about water contamination, bird strike, earthquakes, adverse effects on fish life and shellfish, negative impact on the beach	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
206.	Kay Baker	concerned about water contamination, danger to wildlife, destroying wetlands, extra traffic	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
207.	Ramsey Elizabeth Ashworth	Concerns about fire risk, loss of nature and impact on recreation, loss of habitat for native species, contamination, impact on marine life, visual impact, effect on property prices, funding could be used for eco-friendly furnace	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
208.	Kaye Christine Wilson	concerned with increased traffic, contamination from leachate into Otakia creek and beach, risk of earthquake, increase in	12/11/2021	No	Opposed

		pests/rodents and decrease in endangered species.			
209.	Kieran, Lauren, Pipa, Libby and Dion Mooyman	concerned with fire risk, unwanted seagulls, loss of wildlife, impact on swimming at beach and Otakia stream, assessment 30 years old so out of date.	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
210.	Kieran Thornton	concerned with contamination and pollution of creek and beach, species loss, negative impact on wildlife, risk of fire and odour	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
211.	Peter Schenk	Concerns about water and environment pollution, impact on swimming and surfing at the beach, impact on water species	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
212.	Paul Rutter	Concerned about water contamination, impact on fishing/swimming, impact on wildlife and birds, Brighton area has changed, 30 year old plan should be revisited	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
213.	Kim Peet	concerned with water contamination, impact on native wildlife and habitat	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
214.	Kiri Lee Young	concerned with water contamination, pests, road safety and effect of livestock	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
215.	Pamela Meikle	Concerned with contamination, fire risk, traffic, pollution, odour, species loss, impact on wildlife	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
216.	Kirsty Rackham	concerned with water contamination (beach and wetlands)	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
217.	Nikita Alice Thompson	Concerns about impact on recreational use of local area, pollution, application lodged only 4 days before new NES for	12/11/2021	No	Opposed

		Freshwater, Akatore fault, increased traffic, risk of birdstrike, application based on 30 years ago and Brighton has changed since then			
218.	Kris Mullen	concerned with contamination to creek and beach, pollution of leachate, loss of species, unsafe to swim	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
219.	Ngarimu Erica Pere	Concerned about water contamination and environmental impacts, negative impact on native wildlife, fire risk, odour, effect on community wellbeing and livelihood	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
220.	Kylie Johnston	concerned with water contamination, risk to swimming, social well-being of community and negative impact on property values	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
221.	Nathan Aaron Hill	Concerned about water contamination, fire risk, species loss, negative impact on community and wetlands	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
222.	Angela Hancock	Concerns about negative impact on wetlands, proposal launched shortly before new NES for Freshwater, could be consider more environmentally friendly options, negative effect on flora and fauna, odour, bird strike, proximity to Akatore Faultline with potential leakage from earthquakes, risk of flood events that could cause contaminated water to escape, negative impact on property values and community wellbeing, proposal does not align with DCC Zero waste targets	15/11/2021	No	Opposed

223.	Margaret Lenore Bell	Concerned that application appears to have been made to circumvent new regulations, concerns about negative impacts on environment and community enjoyment/recreation	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
224.	Otago Fish and Game Council	Submitting in a neutral capacity but recommend the Landfill Management Plan be developed prior to a decision, to include at minimum: Trigger values and water quality standard for the discharge and the receiving waters, a plan that identifies actions as to what must happen if exceeded, and an ability for the public to provide feedback	15/11/2021	No	Neutral
225.	Aaron Leo Michael Broekhuysen	Concerned about the environmental effects and local ecology	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
226.	Anna Poole	Concerned about destroying the habitat of wildlife, making Brighton beach unsafe to swim in, impacting the surrounding area for the local community.	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
227.	Alex MacNeille	Concerns about air and water pollution, habitat loss, wildlife stress, zero waste policy, traffic increase, recreation loss, recreation, airport safety, proximity to coast	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
228.	Mahanga Hart	Concerned about negative environmental impact that does not seem to have been thought through	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
229.	Beverley Crawford	Concerned about water pollution running into Brighton beach and impacting children's health	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
230.	Patricia Margaret Stagg	Concerned with adverse effects on property value, fire risk, close to	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed

		Akatore Fault line, pollution, impact on recreation, effect on natives and wildlife			
231.	Diana Duckworth	Concerned about pollution leeching into the river mouth	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
232.	Dennis Jon Kahui	Concerns about leaching and negative impact on Otokia creek and marsh, and impact on plants, birds, insects, water species and the community	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
233.	Mitchell Todd	Concerned about destroying the wildlife habitat near Brighton beach, water pollution into the beach from the river	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
234.	Pepa Cloughley	Concerned about impact on swimming and surfing at Brighton Beach, toxic waste leaching onto beach	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
235.	Nicole Maria Victoria Roche	Concerned about destroying the wildlife habitat near Brighton beach	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
236.	Eric Barry and Alannah Marie McLellan	Concerned about impact on recreation on and around Big Stone Road, increased traffic, decreased property values, fire risk, inability to use Brighton Beach and Otokia creek, impact on community and environment, not meeting the NES for Freshwater	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
237.	Philippa Wright	Concerned with ecological disturbance, water and soil contamination, earthquake, fire risk, bird strike, unknown impacts of climate change, impact on community wellbeing and recreation	15/11/2021	No	Opposed

238.	David John Grant	Concerned about water contamination of Otokia river and local beach and the impact of this on school aquatics programmes	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
239.	Christopher Stephen Green	Concerns about contamination, fire risk, species loss	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
240.	Noel Delahunt	Concerned about water and soil contamination (especially Otokia stream), fire risk	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
241.	Robert Stuart Watt	Concerns about seagulls close to the airport, pollution through the stream to the coast, traffic, destruction of natural environment, other solutions to waste problems e.g. look to Norway and Sweden	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
242.	Rodger and Margaret Anderson	Concerns about loss of wetland environment for endangered wildlife species, risk of bird strike at Dunedin Airport	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
243.	Suzanne Ronaldine Broekhuysse	Concerned about severely impacting the environmental and water quality values (especially to Otokia creek and Brighton beach)	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
244.	Hilary Jean Harrison	Concerns about water contamination, pollution of local waterways, loss of recreational value, effect on future generations ability to safely swim in Otokia creek and Brighton beach	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
245.	Rosie Davison	Concerns about water contamination, effects on native animals, new landfill will not help with working towards zero waste in Dunedin	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed

246.	Ruby Anne Mcmillan Hart	Concerned about effects on community wellbeing, environment, bird habitats, impact on enjoyment of beach and damage to waterways	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
247.	Sharron Lee Tomlinson and Allan John Johnston	dont want it, bird strike	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
248.	Joanne Margaret and Robert McKellar Baxter	Concerned about environmental impact, pollution, increased traffic, risk to Brighton beach from contamination	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
249.	Stevie Kahui	Concerns about impact on wildlife, unsafe to swim, polluted water	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
250.	Taisja Kahui	Concerns about pollution of Otokia Creek, negative impact on animals, wetlands, local residents, smells, new ways could be better for future generations	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
251.	Sally Helena and Craig C Scott	Concerns about fire, contamination, safety of residents in the area, increased trucks on road, impact on recreation	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
252.	Kyle Buckley-Beman	Concerns about water contamination, impact on wildlife, scenery, smells, recreation, will feel unsafe to use the beach and Otokia creek	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
253.	Sally K Crimp	Concerned about leaching, contamination of fragile eco-systems that wetlands support, leaching into beaches, impact on beach users, use of report that was from 30 years ago	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed

254.	Anne-Claire Mauger	Concerned about ecological impact on water quality and wildlife, undermining community efforts to restore native taonga and water quality and to reinforce mahinga kai practice, lack of consideration of alternatives to landfill, lack of documentation regarding leachate and infiltration, absence of wildlife and vegetation restoration management plan, poor archeological assessment	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
255.	Lyndon Weggery	Concerns about proportion of DCC waste vs. commercial waste going to the landfill, questioning necessity of Smooth Hill location if DCC meets zero waste goals	15/11/2021	No	Opposed
256.	Generation Zero	Concerns about failure to accompany this project with serious waste reduction policies that move towards a circular system, impacts on community, Otokia creek, Brighton beach, fire risk, attracting birds with risk of airstrike, odour, air and water quality	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
257.	Brian Lungley	Concerns about adverse effects on environment and neighbouring residents, toxic waste entering waterways over time, fire risk, use of the word 'enable' in the tender name	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
258.	Liam Alistair Scaife	Concerned about environmental effects, adjacent to waterway and wetland, impact on quality of waterway	15/11/2021	No	Opposed

259.	Forest and Bird	"Concerned with adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity and habitats, hydrology and impact on wetlands, water quality of the Ōtokia Creek, Graybrook Stream, Fern Stream and Flax; application submitted days before NPS-FM 2020 and NES-F came into effect stream and receiving coastal waters"	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
260.	Jeremy Nicholas White	Concerns about adverse impacts on recreational use of Brighton Beach and Otokia Creek, impacts on water quality and wetlands, application lodged 4 days before this action would have been prohibited, does not align with NES for Freshwater, make-up of community has changed since the original application	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
261.	Jessie Scoullar	Concerns about water contamination, damage to wildlife, impact on smells, scenery, recreation, not safe to swim	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
262.	Katrina McKenzie	Concerned about meeting NZ climate change commitments and reduction of methane emissions; lack of consideration for modern waste disposal technologies	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
263.	Martyn Colin Solomon	Concerned that land fill will drain into the Otokia Creek and Brighton Beach, the Akatore fault line has not been identified in original application, does not align with NES for Freshwater, potential harm to human and wildlife users of beach and creek, negative impact on community	12/11/2021	No	Opposed

264.	Michele Newman	Concerned with flood risk, leachate from landfill through Otokia river to outlet and main beach, any leachate as well as diversion of water for this consent would negatively impact the local ecosystem including native wildlife species and balance between drought conditions and flooding	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
265.	Munirah Burra	Concerns about environmental effects to waterways, wildlife, odour, fire, bird strike and siting in relation to airports, community and personal hauora tonutanga	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
266.	Neil Brownlie and Jodie Cowan	Concerns about pollution of Otokia Creek and Brighton Beach, impact on recreation in area	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
267.	Neil Robinson	Concerns about water quality of Otokia Creek, impact on enjoyment and recreational use of area	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
268.	Patrick Pitts	Concerned with contamination of soil and water, impact on fishing, diving and surfing	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
269.	Paul Gates	Concerned about pollution and loss of recreational area, ability of future generations to swim and fish in area, adverse effect on property value	26/11/2021	No	Opposed
270.	Philip Gilbert Williams	Concerns about contamination of Otokia Creek and McColl Creek, adverse effects on community wellbeing, impact on wetlands, will not feel safe to swim in local area,	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed

		negative impact on property value, rushed application before new NES for Freshwater			
271.	Rachel Marie Taylor	Concerns about water contamination and safety, NES for Freshwater, odour, risk of fire, risk to local species	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
272.	Stuart Murray Lunam	Concerns about pollution, impact on environment, public health, impact on use of Brighton creek for swimming	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
273.	Rwandao Sirinupong	Concerns about fire risk, not feeling safe to use the creek or beach	12/11/2021	No	Opposed
274.	Ingrid Leary	concerned that the character of location will be ruined, community well-being will suffer and negative impact on future generations	12/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
275.	Lisa Russell	Concerned with impact on water quality, safety and levels of pollution in waterways, area used by Uni of Otago for undergraduate classes, gaps in understanding what speices there are in waterways, no clear understanding of the hydrology especially following heavy rain, leachate to marsh and wetlands, loss of wildlife, loss of flora and fauna, increased traffic.	15/11/2021	Yes	Opposed
276.	Chontal Thompson	Concerned about the health of waterways,wetlands and beaches	15/11/2021	N	Oppose
277.	Aiesha Reta Goswami	Concerns about species at risk, wetland loss, lizard and falcon decline, pest increase, increased traffic	12/11/2021	Y	Oppose
278.	Addison Johnston	Concerned about water	12/11/2021	N	Oppose

		contamination, negative effects on wildlife			
279.	Isobel Margaret Pedro	Concerns about damage to beach and water health, potential earthquake vulnerability, impact on property value, opportunity to think innovatively	12/11/2021	N	Oppose
280.	Jayne Standing	Concerns about location of proposed landfill to residences and failure to disclose on LIM report, risk of air, soil and water pollution, loss of recreational enjoyment of area and risk to Otokia creek which flows to Brighton beach, fire, earthquake, landslip, flooding, bird strike, increase in vermin, loss of native birds and fish	12/11/202	Y	Oppose
281.	Jemima Pedro-Davison	Concerned about negative impact on beach, property value, earthquake vulnerability, opportunity to think big and clean, not feeling safe to use beach and creek	12/11/2021	Y	Oppose
282.	Jessica Garth	Concerned about water contamination, loss of wildlife	12/11/2021	N	Oppose
283.	Lesley and Peter Laing	Concerned about impact on Otakia Creek and associated wetlands in conflict with goal of government to improve water quality DCC not investigated alternatives	12/11/2021	N	Oppose